6/8/76

Dear Howard,

What I've just written CIA and 'imx about it are self-explanatory.

I delay going for my morning walk despite a forecast of 93-degree heat so that if today gets hectic I won t forget what I think you will regard as good news.

Jim would like to use you in depositions if as we expect there is a remand in the spectro case. I, of course, agree.

I think you will do very well questioning the Fraziers and the Gallaghers.

Enjoy it and learn much from it.

Jim is going to make inquiries of the Nader people, who may have used law students in the past.

If and when you have time, as I think I've suggested before, seek out some profs you think might be sympathetic to this.

Your technical knowledge would be invaluable in this.

I don't know how we'll pay for the deposings. We will probably have to move to take them by tape recorder. The idea was not rejected in C.A.75-1448. The judge took another course. And there is always the off chance that there might be some help.

We are going to note depositions in the King case, 1996. We agreed on four yesterday. Same problems.

As you will detect, we are immersed in dirtyworks.

I hope 'im can overcome the captivity of a law education and make this the central issue as in fact it is.

I've asked him to move to strike the latest Wiseman affidavit. He would rather have it in the record. I think it will exist for our needs whether or not stricken but I'm letting him do it his way. He may yet change his mind. He is preparing his own affidavit because there is no time for me to do it and him to go over it and then get it notarized.

Hastily,